1		The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT COURT FOR THE	
7	WESTERN DISTRI	CT OF WASHINGTON	
8	AT S	EATTLE	
9			
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. CR19-159 RSL	
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL	
12	v.	AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	
13			
14	PAIGE A. THOMPSON,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17			
18	After considering the record in this matter, and being fully advised, the COURT		
19	FINDS as follows:		
20	1. Between October 4, 2021, and January 27, 2022, the parties filed eight substantive		
21	pretrial motions, in addition to numerous other procedural motions. See, e.g., Dkt.		
22	111, 122, 123, 124, 125, 127, 149,	190. At least seven of these motions are still	
23	pending resolution.		
24	2. Of the aforementioned pretrial more	tions, at least four relate to outstanding	
25	discovery issues.		
26			
27			
28			
	•		

- 3. The volume and nature of the technological evidence in this case makes this case unusually complex relative to most other criminal cases that come before the Court.
- 4. Due to the complexity of the case, the Court requires additional time to consider and resolve the numerous motions still pending disposition. The number and complexity of the pretrial motions, and the Court's need for a hearing on at least some of those motions, make it unreasonable for the Court to render decisions on all of these motions before the case proceeds to trial on March 14, 2022. *See* 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(D), (H), and (7)(B)(ii).
- 5. Because several of the outstanding motions relate to pretrial discovery issues, and considering the complexity of the case, proceeding to trial before June 7, 2022, would unreasonably deny counsel for the defendant and the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The parties will need the additional time provided by this continuance to adequately prepare for trial after pretrial motions are resolved. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
- 6. The parties estimate that this trial will require two to three weeks to complete, and the Court considers this to be a reasonable estimate.
- 7. In setting a new trial date, and in addition to the factors set forth above, the Court has considered the following unavailability of government counsel due to prescheduled trials and travel for important family events that cannot be rescheduled:
 - a. April 11-15, 2022;
 - b. April 25-29, 2022;
 - c. May 2-6, 2022;

- d. May 16-20, 2022;
- e. June 1-6, 2022.
- 8. In light of these scheduling conflicts, and considering the complexity of the case and the specialized knowledge of the evidence required to bring the case to trial, setting a trial date before June 7, 2022, would unreasonably deny the government continuity of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
- 9. The Court also has considered the fact that Defendant consents to this continuance and filed a waiver of her right to speedy trial, agreeing to waive that right through June 21, 2022.
- 10. For the foregoing reasons, the failure to grant a continuance under these circumstances would likely result in a miscarriage of justice as set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), and the ends of justice served by granting a trial continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedier trial within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).
- 11. The Court incorporates its oral findings and conclusions, as stated on the record at the status conference held February 11, 2022.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the trial date in this matter is continued to June 7, 2022.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the period of time from entry of this order, up to and including the trial date of June 7, 2022, shall be excludable time pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(D), (h)(1)(H), (h)(7)(A), and (h)(7)(B).

Dated this 7th day of March, 2022.

Robert S. Lasnik

MMS Casnik

United States District Judge

1	
2	Presented by:
3	/a/ Andrew C. Eviadores
4	/s/ Andrew C. Friedman /s/ Jessica M. Manca
5	/s/ Tania M. Culbertson ANDREW C. FRIEDMAN
6	JESSICA M. MANCA
7	TANIA M. CULBERTSON Assistant United States Attorneys
8	
9	<u>/s/ Mohammad Hamoudi</u> <u>/s/ Christopher Sanders</u>
10	/s/ Nancy Tenney /s/ Brian Klein
11	/s/ Melissa Meister
12	MOHAMMAD HAMOUDI CHRISTOPHER SANDERS
13	NANCY TENNEY BRIAN KLEIN
14	MELISSA MEISTER
15	Attorneys for Paige Thompson
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	